

13501 Jason Crandall Drive

City of El Paso — Plan Commission — 10/04/2018 Reconsideration

PZRZ18-00038 Rezoning



| | |
|-----------------------|---|
| STAFF CONTACT: | Brenda Cantu, (915) 212-1642, cantubr@elpasotexas.gov |
| OWNER: | City of El Paso |
| REPRESENTATIVE: | ECM International, Inc. |
| LOCATION: | 13501 Jason Crandall Drive, District 5 |
| LEGAL DESCRIPTION: | Portion of Tracts 17 and 1C, Section 34, Block 79, Township 2, Texas and Pacific Railway Company Surveys, City of El Paso, El Paso County, Texas |
| EXISTING ZONING: | S-D (Special Development) |
| REQUEST: | To rezone from S-D (special development) to R-5 (residential) for a park. This case was presented and approved by CPC on September 6, 2018 and is being reheard due to a change to the property's legal description. |
| RELATED APPLICATIONS: | SUSU18-00016 and SUSU18-00070 |
| PUBLIC INPUT | Notices sent to property owners within 300 feet on August 23, 2018 and only one call was received inquiring about the case. Notices for the reconsideration were sent out on September 20, 2018 and one call was received inquiring about the case. (See Attachment #7) |
| STAFF RECOMMENDATION: | Approval (see pages 2—4 for basis for recommendation) |

SUMMARY OF REQUEST: The applicant is requesting to rezone from S-D (Special Development) to R-5 (Residential). The proposed rezoning is a portion of a larger parcel that is zoned R-5, which is intended to be the location for the East El Paso Regional Park. Additionally, the City Plan Commission imposed a condition during the Subdivision process (SUSU18-00016) that the portion of S-D be rezoned to be consistent with the rest of the parcel to the East. This case was presented and approved by CPC on September 6, 2018, and is being reheard due to a change to the property's legal description.

SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATION: The Planning Division recommends **APPROVAL** of rezoning the property from S-D (Special Development) to R-5 (Residential). The proposed zoning district is consistent with other surrounding residential districts and recreational uses in the immediate area, and meets the established character of its surrounding neighborhood. Further, the proposed development meets the intent of the G-4, Suburban (Walkable) land use designation of Plan El Paso in the East planning area.




DESCRIPTION OF REQUEST

The property owner is requesting a rezoning from S-D (Special Development) to R-5 (Residential) to accommodate a park. The property is currently vacant. The conceptual plan shows a dog park that will be part of the East El Paso Regional Park. Access to the subject property is from Hueco Club, Jason Crandall, and Tierra Dorada Drive.

REZONING POLICY

| POLICY | DOES IT COMPLY? |
|--|--|
| <u>Compatibility</u> Proposed zone change matches existing land use map or matches existing land use designation within 300 ft. of the subject property. | Yes, properties adjacent to the subject property are zoned R-5 and S-D. The current and proposed zones both allow parks. However, in order to avoid split zoning the applicant is requesting a zoning change in order to have only one zone applicable to the proposed East El Paso Regional Park. |
| <u>Plan El Paso</u> The design of new neighborhoods and additions to existing neighborhoods should strive for a balance of housing jobs, shopping, recreation, and civic uses to avoid unnecessary travel and reduce infrastructure and public services costs. | Yes, the property is designated G-4 Suburban Walkable and meets the intent by proposing recreation and civic uses within ½ a mile from existing residential developments. |

NEIGHBORHOOD CHARACTER AND COMPATIBILITY: The subject property is located in the far east side of the city directly abutting the City of El Paso's Extraterritorial Jurisdiction and within a property acquired by the City of El Paso for the future East El Paso Regional Park. This location serves as a transitional area between existing single-family residential land uses to the West, South, and East and commercial uses to the North along Montana Avenue, a major arterial. The subject property is currently vacant. The subject property has not been platted. However, a preliminary plat (SUSU18-00016) has approved by the City Plan Commission on July 12, 2018 as part of a phased development consisting of three phases. The final plat has been submitted for phase one (SUSU18-00070) on August 13, 2018 and is currently in the review process. The subject property for this rezoning case is located within phase three of the proposed development which is scheduled to be complete by January 2024. The nearest school to the subject property is Chester E. Jordan (PK-5) Elementary School (0.13 miles) and the nearest park is Hueco Estates (0.15 miles).



EAST EL PASO REGIONAL PARK

PORTION OF TRACT 17, SECTION 34, BLOCK 79, TOWNSHIP 2 NORTH, RANGE 10 EAST, COUNTY OF EL PASO, TEXAS

1.3429 ACRES

OWNER

CITY OF EL PASO
1000 WEST 10TH STREET
EL PASO, TEXAS 79907
TEL: 915.325.2000
WWW.ELPASO.ORG

PREPARED BY

GRV INTEGRATED ENGINEERING SOLUTIONS LLC
10000 W. HUNTER STREET, SUITE 100
DALLAS, TEXAS 75244
TEL: 214.635.1234
WWW.GRVI.COM

DATE

08/11/2023

PROJECT

1 OF 1

CONNECTION OF ACCESS ROAD TO JASON CANNON DRIVE

CONNECTION OF ACCESS ROAD TO MONTANA AVE.

AREA TO BE REZONED

PROPOSED DOG PARK (GRASS AREA)

FUTURE EXPANSION OF EXISTING RETENTION POND

STREET 1

TRACT 16

TRACT 17

TRACT 18

TRACT 19

TRACT 20

TRACT 21

TRACT 22

TRACT 23

TRACT 24

TRACT 25

TRACT 26

TRACT 27

TRACT 28

TRACT 29

TRACT 30

TRACT 31

TRACT 32

TRACT 33

TRACT 34

TRACT 35

TRACT 36

TRACT 37

TRACT 38

TRACT 39

TRACT 40

TRACT 41

TRACT 42

TRACT 43

TRACT 44

TRACT 45

TRACT 46

TRACT 47

TRACT 48

TRACT 49

TRACT 50

TRACT 51

TRACT 52

TRACT 53

TRACT 54

TRACT 55

TRACT 56

TRACT 57

TRACT 58

TRACT 59

TRACT 60

TRACT 61

TRACT 62

TRACT 63

TRACT 64

TRACT 65

TRACT 66

TRACT 67

TRACT 68

TRACT 69

TRACT 70

TRACT 71

TRACT 72

TRACT 73

TRACT 74

TRACT 75

TRACT 76

TRACT 77

TRACT 78

TRACT 79

TRACT 80

TRACT 81

TRACT 82

TRACT 83

TRACT 84

TRACT 85

TRACT 86

TRACT 87

TRACT 88

TRACT 89

TRACT 90

TRACT 91

TRACT 92

TRACT 93

TRACT 94

TRACT 95

TRACT 96

TRACT 97

TRACT 98

TRACT 99

TRACT 100

TRACT 101

TRACT 102

TRACT 103

TRACT 104

TRACT 105

TRACT 106

TRACT 107

TRACT 108

TRACT 109

TRACT 110

TRACT 111

TRACT 112

TRACT 113

TRACT 114

TRACT 115

TRACT 116

TRACT 117

TRACT 118

TRACT 119

TRACT 120

TRACT 121

TRACT 122

TRACT 123

TRACT 124

TRACT 125

TRACT 126

TRACT 127

TRACT 128

TRACT 129

TRACT 130

TRACT 131

TRACT 132

TRACT 133

TRACT 134

TRACT 135

TRACT 136

TRACT 137

TRACT 138

TRACT 139

TRACT 140

TRACT 141

TRACT 142

TRACT 143

TRACT 144

TRACT 145

TRACT 146

TRACT 147

TRACT 148

TRACT 149

TRACT 150

TRACT 151

TRACT 152

TRACT 153

TRACT 154

TRACT 155

TRACT 156

TRACT 157

TRACT 158

TRACT 159

TRACT 160

TRACT 161

TRACT 162

TRACT 163

TRACT 164

TRACT 165

TRACT 166

TRACT 167

TRACT 168

TRACT 169

TRACT 170

TRACT 171

TRACT 172

TRACT 173

TRACT 174

TRACT 175

TRACT 176

TRACT 177

TRACT 178

TRACT 179

TRACT 180

TRACT 181

TRACT 182

TRACT 183

TRACT 184

TRACT 185

TRACT 186

TRACT 187

TRACT 188

TRACT 189

TRACT 190

TRACT 191

TRACT 192

TRACT 193

TRACT 194

TRACT 195

TRACT 196

TRACT 197

TRACT 198

TRACT 199

TRACT 200

TRACT 201

TRACT 202

TRACT 203

TRACT 204

TRACT 205

TRACT 206

TRACT 207

TRACT 208

TRACT 209

TRACT 210

TRACT 211

TRACT 212

TRACT 213

TRACT 214

TRACT 215

TRACT 216

TRACT 217

TRACT 218

TRACT 219

TRACT 220

TRACT 221

TRACT 222

TRACT 223

TRACT 224

TRACT 225

TRACT 226

TRACT 227

TRACT 228

TRACT 229

TRACT 230

TRACT 231

TRACT 232

TRACT 233

TRACT 234

TRACT 235

TRACT 236

TRACT 237

TRACT 238

TRACT 239

TRACT 240

TRACT 241

TRACT 242

TRACT 243

TRACT 244

TRACT 245

TRACT 246

TRACT 247

TRACT 248

TRACT 249

TRACT 250

TRACT 251

TRACT 252

TRACT 253

TRACT 254

TRACT 255

TRACT 256

TRACT 257

TRACT 258

TRACT 259

TRACT 260

TRACT 261

TRACT 262

TRACT 263

TRACT

RELATION OF PROPOSED CHANGE TO THE CITY'S COMPREHENSIVE PLAN

| CONSISTENCY WITH PLAN EL PASO | DOES IT COMPLY? |
|---|---|
| <u>G-4, Suburban (Walkable)</u> This sector applies to modern single use residential subdivisions and office parks, large schools and parks, and suburban shopping centers. This sector is generally stable but would benefit from strategic suburban retrofits to supplement the limited housing stock and add missing civic and commercial uses. | The proposed development will add a missing component to the area that is mostly residential. The proposed park will be within walking distance from several residential and commercial properties. |
| ZONING DISTRICT | DOES IT COMPLY? |
| <u>R-5 (Residential)</u> The purpose of these districts is to promote and preserve residential development within the city to create basic neighborhood units. It is intended that the district regulations maintain a low density of dwelling units supporting a suburban-urban interface that permits developments utilizing varying lot configurations. The regulations of the districts will permit primarily single-family and two-family residential areas, and recreational and institutional uses incidental to and serving the neighborhood. | A park/playground is allowed in the R-5 (Residential) district. It will provide the surrounding residential developments with recreational uses within a walkable distance. |
| POLICY | DOES IT COMPLY? |
| <u>Policy 2.5.2:</u> Civic spaces are outdoor gathering places for public use. Civic spaces can be defined by a combination of physical factors including their size, intended use, landscaping, and the character of their edges, as described in the Public Facilities Element. New neighborhoods should be designed around optimal locations for civic spaces. Civic spaces should not be designated in awkward locations on residual tracts of land that are left over during the subdivision process. | The proposal will allow for a variety of civic spaces to serve the adjacent residential developments. |

SUITABILITY OF SITE FOR USES UNDER CURRENT ZONING: The subject property is 54,119 square feet (1.2428 acres). The S-D (Special Development) zoning district does allow for a park, however a detailed site plan would be required for the entire park area to include the remaining portion of the park that is already zoned R-5 (Residential).

SUITABILITY OF SITE FOR USES UNDER PROPOSED ZONING: The proposed use of a park is a permitted use in the R-5 (residential) district by right and does not require a detailed site plan. Additionally, the proposed zone will coincide with the remaining portion of the proposed regional park thus eliminating any split zoning issues associated with the development.

CONSISTENCY WITH INTENT AND PURPOSE OF THE ZONING ORDINANCE: The intent of the Zoning Ordinance is to protect the public health, safety, and general welfare; to regulate the use of land and buildings within zoning districts to ensure compatibility, and to protect property values. The intent of the R-5 (Residential) district is to promote and preserve residential development within the city to create basic neighborhood units. It is intended that the district regulations maintain a low density of dwelling units supporting a suburban-urban interface that permits developments utilizing varying lot configurations. The regulations of the districts will permit primarily single-family and two-family residential areas, and recreational and institutional uses incidental to and serving the neighborhood. The proposed zoning and the proposed use meet the intent of the zoning ordinance.

ADEQUACY OF PUBLIC FACILITIES AND SERVICES: There are no existing water and sewer mains at this time. However, the subject property is part of a phased development that will eventually provide the necessary services to the proposed development through phase three of the phasing plan.

EFFECT UPON THE NATURAL ENVIRONMENT: The subject property is not in an arroyo or another environmentally sensitive area and no adverse environmental impacts are anticipated from this development.

COMMENT FROM THE PUBLIC: The subject property falls within the boundary of the Las Tierras Neighborhood Association and the Eastside Civic Association. They were contacted as required by 20.04.520. Notice of a Public Hearing was mailed to all property owners within 300 feet of the subject property on September 20, 2018. The Planning Division has received one phone call inquiring about the proposed rezoning.

STAFF COMMENTS: No objections to proposed rezoning. All reviewing department comments have been satisfactorily addressed. Prior to submitting for building permits, if any, the applicant will need to finalize the platting process. Applicant is responsible for obtaining all applicable permits and approvals prior to any construction or change in occupancy.


OTHER APPLICABLE FACTORS: Approval of the site plans by CPC constitutes a determination that the applicant is in compliance with the minimum provisions. Applicant is responsible for the adequacy of such plans, insuring that stormwater is in compliance with ordinances, codes, DSC, and DDM. Failure to comply may require the applicant to seek re-approval of the site plans from CPC.

ATTACHMENTS:


1. Conceptual Site Plan
2. Zoning Map
3. Future Land Use Map
4. Department Comments
5. Neighborhood Notification Boundary Map

ATTACHMENT 1

Conceptual Site Plan




PZR718-00038



ATTACHMENT 3

Future Land Use Map



ATTACHMENT 4

Department Comments

Texas Department of Transportation

Development is not abutting TxDOT right of way.

Planning and Inspections Department – Plan Review

No objections.

Planning and Inspections Department – Landscaping Division

No comments received.

Planning and Inspections Department – Land Development

No comments received.

Fire Department

No objections.

Police Department

No comments received.

Sun Metro

No comments received.

EPWU-PSB Comments

Water:

El Paso Water (EPWU) does not object to this request.

No water and sanitary sewer service is available to the site.

Sewer:

El Paso Water (EPWU) does not object to this request.

No water and sanitary sewer service is available to the site.

General:

No comments received.

Stormwater:

No comments received.

Neighborhood Notification Boundary Map

